

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Eugene Groves, | : | |
| | : | |
| Petitioner(s), | : | |
| | : | Case Number: 1:11cv574 |
| vs. | : | |
| | : | Chief Judge Susan J. Dlott |
| Warden, Ohio State Penitentiary, | : | |
| | : | |
| Respondent(s). | : | |

ORDER

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Stephanie K. Bowman filed on June 11, 2012 (Doc. 11), to whom this case was referred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), and noting that no objections have been filed thereto and that the time for filing such objections under Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) expired June 28, 2012, hereby ADOPTS said Report and Recommendation.

Accordingly, respondent's motion to dismiss (Doc. 8) is **GRANTED**, and petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1) is **DISMISSED** with prejudice on the ground that it is time-barred under 28 U.S.C. § 2244 (d).

A certificate of appealability will not issue with respect to the claims for relief alleged in the petition, which this Court has concluded are barred from review on a procedural ground, because under the first prong of the applicable two-part standard enunciated in *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000), "jurists of reason" will not find it debatable whether the Court is correct in its procedural ruling.

With respect to any application by petitioner to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis*, the Court will certify pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that an appeal of any Order adopting the

Report and Recommendation will not be taken in “good faith,” and therefore **DENY** petitioner leave to appeal *in forma pauperis* upon a showing of financial necessity. *See* Fed. R. App. 24(a); *Kincade v. Sparkman*, 117 F.3d 949, 952 (6th Cir. 1997).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Susan J. Dlott
Chief Judge Susan J. Dlott
United States District Court